

OPEN ACCESS

Corresponding author

uhsharanikhuntia@gmail.com

Copyright: ©2024 Usharani Khuntia This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Keywords: Inquiry-Based Learning, critical thinking, problem-solving, secondary education, active learning, instructional strategies

Published By
Notation Publishing
www.notationpublishing.com

Assessing the Effectiveness of Inquiry-Based Learning on Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving Skills in Secondary Education

Dr. Usharani Khuntia

Assistant Professor in Teacher Education, Government Teachers Training College, Phulbani, Odisha.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) on the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills in secondary education students. Using a quasi-experimental design, 60 students were divided into an experimental group, which received IBL instruction, and a control group, which followed traditional teaching methods. Pre- and post-test surveys measured both skill areas. Findings reveal that students in the IBL group showed significant improvements in critical thinking and problem-solving abilities compared to the control group. These results suggest that IBL can effectively enhance cognitive skills, providing students with valuable tools for academic success and real-world challenges. The study highlights IBL's potential as an educational strategy for fostering higher-order thinking in secondary education, though further research is recommended to explore its long-term impacts across diverse student populations.

Introduction

Background

Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) is an instructional approach that encourages students to take an active role in their learning by exploring questions, investigating problems, and developing solutions independently. Rooted in the principles of Constructivist Learning Theory (Piaget, 1972), IBL posits that knowledge is actively constructed through experience and reflection, as students engage in hands-on exploration and critical thinking. Unlike traditional, lecture-based instruction, where teachers provide information directly, IBL empowers students to question, investigate, and learn autonomously, fostering a deeper understanding of concepts (Hmelo-Silver et al., 2007). In recent years, educational practices have increasingly focused on cultivating 21st-century skills, including critical thinking and problem-solving, as essential tools for success in a rapidly changing world (Saavedra & Opfer, 2012). These skills are fundamental in helping students analyze complex problems, make informed decisions, and navigate real-world

challenges effectively. IBL is often considered a valuable approach to achieve these goals, as it promotes active engagement, self-directed learning, and cognitive development (Ertmer & Simons, 2006). Through IBL, students are exposed to learning experiences that require them to evaluate information critically, make connections, and apply their knowledge across various contexts.

Importance of Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving in Education

Critical thinking and problem-solving skills are not only vital in academic contexts but are also highly sought after by employers across industries (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2011). These skills enable students to think independently, process information logically, and approach tasks systematically. Critical thinking involves analyzing information, assessing its validity, and forming reasoned judgments. Problem-solving requires identifying challenges, brainstorming solutions, and implementing practical responses. In secondary education, developing these competencies helps students prepare for higher education and the workforce by equipping them with the skills necessary to navigate diverse and complex scenarios (Wheeler et al., 2007).

IBL's active, student-centered approach aligns well with the goals of cultivating critical thinking and problem-solving skills. In an IBL classroom, students are encouraged to explore open-ended questions, collaborate with peers, and apply problem-solving strategies to real-world issues. This method fosters a learning environment where students can challenge their assumptions, test hypotheses, and refine their understanding based on evidence and feedback. Studies have shown that students involved in IBL often demonstrate enhanced engagement and motivation, which contribute to the development of critical cognitive and analytical skills (Levy et al., 2013).

Problem Statement

Despite the theoretical advantages of IBL in promoting critical thinking and problem-solving, empirical evidence on its effectiveness within secondary education remains limited. Research findings on IBL's impact are varied, with some studies reporting positive outcomes and others noting minimal effects depending on instructional design and subject matter (Bell et al., 2010). Additionally, the existing literature often focuses on higher education or STEM fields, leaving a gap in understanding how IBL impacts these skills across a broader range of subjects in secondary education. This study seeks to bridge this gap by evaluating the specific impact of IBL on critical thinking and problem-solving abilities among secondary school students, providing insights into its effectiveness across diverse academic settings.

Objectives and Hypotheses

Objectives:

1. To examine the influence of IBL on critical thinking skills in secondary education students.
2. To assess how IBL affects students' problem-solving abilities across varied academic subjects.

Hypotheses:

1. Students engaged in IBL will demonstrate improved critical thinking skills compared to students receiving traditional instruction.
2. IBL will enhance students' problem-solving abilities, equipping them with the skills to apply knowledge independently and adaptively.

Significance of the Study

This research holds significance in its potential to inform educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers about the benefits of IBL for fostering essential skills in secondary education. By evaluating IBL's specific effects on critical thinking and problem-solving, the study aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for integrating IBL into curricula to promote effective, active learning. As educational priorities shift toward skill-based instruction that prepares students for real-world challenges, understanding the value of IBL in secondary education could lead to more widespread adoption of this approach. This study also seeks to broaden the scope of IBL research by examining its impact beyond STEM subjects, contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of IBL's versatility and effectiveness.

In sum, this research addresses the critical role that educational approaches play in developing the analytical and problem-solving competencies students need for success in academics and beyond. Through assessing the effectiveness of IBL in secondary education, the study contributes to a growing body of literature on innovative instructional practices and their potential to foster deeper cognitive engagement.

Key Concepts/Theoretical Framework

1. Constructivist Learning Theory

Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) is rooted in Constructivist Learning Theory, which asserts that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences and reflections (Piaget, 1972). Constructivism emphasizes that students learn best when they explore, question, and discover concepts independently. In an IBL setting, teachers facilitate learning by creating environments that stimulate inquiry, enabling students to investigate problems and develop critical thinking skills through hands-on engagement. Vygotsky's (1978) Social Constructivism further supports IBL by suggesting that students learn more effectively in collaborative, social settings where they can engage in dialogue, share perspectives, and co-construct understanding.

2. Bloom's Taxonomy of Higher-Order Thinking

Bloom's Taxonomy categorizes cognitive processes into lower- and higher-order skills, with higher-order thinking involving analysis, synthesis, and evaluation (Bloom, 1956). IBL, by design, promotes higher-order thinking skills, as students are encouraged to analyze data, synthesize information, and evaluate outcomes. Through inquiry, students engage with content beyond memorization, applying knowledge in new and meaningful ways that develop critical and reflective thinking (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001).

Empirical Studies on IBL and Critical Thinking

1. Impact on Student Engagement and Motivation

Hmelo-Silver et al. (2007) examined the effects of IBL on student engagement and found that students in inquiry-based environments are more motivated and show greater interest in the subject matter compared to those in traditional classrooms. The active learning approach of IBL supports autonomy and self-direction, which are known to enhance intrinsic motivation. Edelson et al. (1999) similarly observed that IBL improves student engagement by encouraging curiosity and enabling students to take ownership of their learning, leading to a deeper understanding and retention of knowledge.

2. Development of Critical Thinking Skills

Critical thinking is one of the core skills fostered by IBL. Bell et al. (2010) found that students in IBL classrooms scored higher on measures of critical thinking compared to their peers in traditional classrooms. This study emphasized that IBL environments encourage students to ask questions, analyze information, and draw conclusions independently. Ertmer & Simons (2006)

also reported that students engaged in IBL demonstrate a better understanding of complex concepts, as they are required to evaluate evidence, consider multiple perspectives, and justify their reasoning.

3. Cognitive Gains and Transfer of Skills

Wheeler et al. (2007) highlighted that IBL supports cognitive gains by allowing students to transfer skills across different contexts. For example, students who learn scientific inquiry in a biology class can apply similar skills in other disciplines, such as history or math. Levy et al. (2013) noted that IBL fosters metacognitive awareness, as students reflect on their learning processes, adjust their strategies, and monitor their understanding. These metacognitive practices are essential for developing critical thinking and problem-solving skills, as students learn to regulate their cognitive processes.

4. Effectiveness in Diverse Learning Contexts

Research shows that IBL is effective in a variety of educational settings. Hmelo-Silver (2004) found that IBL enhanced critical thinking skills not only in STEM fields but also in humanities and social sciences. This finding challenges the common misconception that IBL is exclusively beneficial for science-related subjects. Savery (2006) supports this perspective, emphasizing that IBL's focus on questioning, analysis, and synthesis is applicable across disciplines, making it a versatile and effective teaching approach.

Empirical Studies on IBL and Problem-Solving Skills

1. Enhancing Students' Problem-Solving Abilities

IBL encourages students to solve complex problems through inquiry, hypothesis testing, and evaluation. Hmelo-Silver et al. (2007) demonstrated that IBL students develop stronger problem-solving skills, as they are trained to approach problems systematically and consider multiple solutions. In another study, Wheeler et al. (2007) found that students in IBL settings could independently identify problems, generate hypotheses, and test solutions, highlighting that IBL nurtures resilience and adaptability in tackling challenges.

2. Application of Real-World Scenarios

Using real-world scenarios in IBL enables students to practice problem-solving in contexts that mimic authentic challenges. Savery & Duffy (1995) observed that students are more likely to retain problem-solving skills when they apply them to real-world situations. By addressing

authentic, complex problems, students gain skills that are transferable to various professional and personal contexts, making IBL an effective approach for preparing students for future challenges.

3. IBL and Collaborative Problem-Solving

Collaboration is a critical component of IBL, fostering collective problem-solving and communication skills. Ertmer et al. (2009) found that IBL environments promote teamwork, as students collaborate on inquiries, share perspectives, and refine their ideas based on group feedback. Collaborative problem-solving within IBL enhances cognitive processing, as students are exposed to diverse viewpoints and learn to approach problems collectively, a skill essential for both academic and real-world contexts.

Key Factors Affecting the Effectiveness of IBL

1. Teacher Facilitation and Training

Teacher facilitation plays a significant role in the success of IBL. Ertmer & Simons (2006) argued that the effectiveness of IBL depends on teachers' ability to guide students through the inquiry process, pose thought-provoking questions, and support critical analysis. Teachers require specific training to implement IBL effectively, as they must balance guidance with allowing students to explore independently. Hmelo-Silver & Barrows (2006) emphasize that teachers should be trained to provide constructive feedback, scaffold learning, and foster an environment that encourages inquiry.

2. Assessment and Feedback Mechanisms

Effective assessment is essential for measuring the impact of IBL on critical thinking and problem-solving. Hmelo-Silver et al. (2007) advocate for formative assessments that provide real-time feedback, allowing students to reflect on their progress and adjust their approaches. Bell et al. (2010) also emphasize the importance of self-assessment, where students evaluate their problem-solving strategies, promoting metacognitive growth and self-regulation.

Subject-Specific Considerations

While IBL has been widely adopted in STEM education, studies suggest that it can also be adapted for other subjects. Minner et al. (2010) found that IBL can be tailored to various disciplines, as the inquiry process is inherently adaptable. However, some subjects may require unique adaptations of IBL, particularly when applying inquiry-based approaches to fields like social

sciences and humanities, which may involve different types of critical analysis and problem-solving methods.

Gaps in Research

Despite the extensive research on IBL, gaps remain in understanding its effectiveness across different educational levels and student demographics. Most studies focus on elementary or higher education, with limited research on IBL's impact in secondary education settings. Additionally, while there is evidence supporting IBL's effectiveness in STEM fields, more studies are needed to explore its applicability in subjects such as literature, history, and social sciences (Bell et al., 2010). There is also a need for longitudinal studies to understand the long-term effects of IBL on critical thinking and problem-solving skills, as most research captures only immediate or short-term outcomes.

Summary

This review demonstrates that IBL is a promising approach for developing critical thinking and problem-solving skills, with applications across diverse subjects and educational levels. IBL aligns well with constructivist principles, fostering an active, student-centered learning environment that encourages inquiry, reflection, and analysis. However, gaps in the literature highlight the need for further research on IBL's impact in secondary education and non-STEM fields. This study seeks to address these gaps by assessing the specific effects of IBL on critical thinking and problem-solving in secondary education, providing a comprehensive analysis of its potential to prepare students for academic and real-world challenges.

Methodology

Research Design

This study uses a quasi-experimental design with pre-test and post-test assessments to examine the impact of Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) on critical thinking and problem-solving skills in secondary school students. A control group receives traditional instruction, while an experimental group participates in IBL activities. This design allows for comparisons between the two groups, providing insights into how IBL may foster skills that are essential for academic success.

Participants/Sample

The study is conducted at **two secondary schools** in Phulbani, Kandhamal with a total of **60 students** in grades 9 and 10 participating:

Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics (JHSSD)

- **Experimental Group (30 students):** Receives IBL-based instruction.
- **Control Group (30 students):** Follows a traditional lecture-based approach.

Selection Criteria: The students were selected from the same grade level and were grouped based on their school class assignments to preserve natural classroom environments.

Demographics:

- **Grade Levels:** Grades 9 and 10
- **Subjects:** A balanced mix of STEM and humanities subjects to observe IBL's effect across disciplines.

Data Collection

1. Pre-Test and Post-Test Surveys

To assess the impact of IBL, students in both groups complete a pre-test and post-test survey measuring critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

- **Critical Thinking Skills:** Assessed through survey items adapted from a standardized critical thinking appraisal (e.g., Watson-Glaser). The survey includes questions that assess students' ability to analyze, interpret, and evaluate information, using a 5-point Likert scale to capture the level of critical thinking.
- **Problem-Solving Skills:** Measured using survey items designed around hypothetical scenarios. These items assess students' logical reasoning, ability to develop solutions, and their approach to tackling problems, rated on a 5-point Likert scale.

2. Student Perception Surveys

To gain insight into students' perceptions of their learning experiences, a separate survey was administered after the post-test. This survey included questions on:

- **Engagement:** Students rate their level of engagement during the instruction period.
- **Learning Effectiveness:** Students in the experimental group rate the perceived effectiveness of IBL activities on their understanding and skill development.

The survey questions for both groups were closed-ended, using a Likert scale (from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree) to provide quantifiable insights.

Data Analysis

1. Quantitative Analysis

Descriptive Statistics: Basic descriptive statistics (mean, median, and standard deviation) summarize the pre-test and post-test survey responses for both groups, giving a general overview of skill development.

Inferential Statistics: A paired **t-test** is conducted within each group to determine if there were significant changes from pre-test to post-test in critical thinking and problem-solving skills. An **independent t-test** compares post-test scores between the experimental and control groups, determining the statistical significance of differences in skill development attributed to IBL.

Limitations

1. **Small Sample Size:** With only two schools and 60 students, the findings may not be generalizable across different regions or school contexts.
2. **Self-Reported Data:** The reliance on survey data introduces the possibility of response bias, as students may overestimate or underestimate their skill levels.
3. **Limited Observational Data:** Since only surveys are used, insights into classroom dynamics and specific behaviors related to IBL are not captured, potentially limiting the understanding of engagement differences.

Results

1. Critical Thinking Skills

- **Experimental Group:** The average pre-test score for critical thinking was 2.8, which increased to 4.0 in the post-test.
- **Control Group:** The pre-test average was 2.7, with a slight increase to 2.9 in the post-test.
- **Observation:** Students in the IBL (experimental) group showed a significant improvement in critical thinking skills, with post-test scores substantially higher than the control group.

Graph Description:

- The line chart for critical thinking scores shows a marked increase from pre- to post-test for the experimental group, while the control group remains relatively constant.

2. Problem-Solving Skills

- **Experimental Group:** The average pre-test score for problem-solving was 3.0, which rose to 4.2 in the post-test.
- **Control Group:** The control group's scores remained stable, with a pre-test score of 2.9 and a post-test score of 3.0.
- **Observation:** Similar to critical thinking, the experimental group showed a notable improvement in problem-solving skills after the IBL intervention.

Graph Description:

- The **line chart** for problem-solving scores illustrates a similar trend, with the experimental group showing significant post-test improvement, unlike the control group.

Discussion

Interpretation of Results

The findings support the hypothesis that IBL positively impacts students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills. The experimental group displayed significant improvement in both skills, suggesting that the inquiry-based approach fosters cognitive and analytical growth.

Comparison with Literature

These results align with previous studies (e.g., Hmelo-Silver et al., 2007; Ertmer & Simons, 2006), which highlight IBL's potential to develop higher-order thinking skills by engaging students in active problem-solving and critical inquiry. This study confirms IBL's applicability in secondary education, consistent with research indicating that hands-on, inquiry-driven methods enhance student understanding and skill development.

Implications

The observed improvements in critical thinking and problem-solving underscore the value of integrating IBL into secondary education curricula. Schools could benefit from adopting IBL

strategies across various subjects to prepare students for higher education and real-world challenges.

Limitations of the Study

With a limited sample size and short study duration, the results may not capture the long-term effects of IBL. Future research could involve larger and more diverse samples, as well as a longitudinal approach to assess sustained impacts on student skills.

Group	Pre-Test Critical Thinking	Post-Test Critical Thinking	Pre-Test Problem Solving	Post-Test Problem Solving
Experimental	2.8	4	3	4.2
Control	2.7	2.9	2.9	3

Conclusion

This study examined the effectiveness of Inquiry-Based Learning (IBL) on developing critical thinking and problem-solving skills among secondary education students. The results showed a clear benefit of IBL, as students in the experimental group demonstrated significantly higher post-test scores in both critical thinking and problem-solving compared to the control group. This outcome suggests that IBL, by engaging students in hands-on, inquiry-driven activities, fosters essential cognitive skills that traditional instruction may not address as effectively. The findings align with previous research that highlights IBL's potential to enhance higher-order thinking and independent learning. Students in IBL environments actively participated in exploring questions, analyzing information, and forming conclusions, which contributed to improvements in their critical thinking abilities. Similarly, the problem-solving scores indicate that IBL equips students with the skills to approach complex challenges methodically and creatively. These insights suggest that integrating IBL into secondary education curricula can be a valuable approach for preparing students for academic and real-world problem-solving. However, the study's limitations, such as the small sample size and the reliance on a single semester's data, indicate a need for further research to evaluate IBL's long-term effects across varied contexts. Expanding this research to

larger, more diverse samples and examining the sustained impacts of IBL on students' cognitive skills will provide a more comprehensive understanding of its benefits.

References

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.

Bell, R. L., Smetana, L., & Binns, I. (2010). Simplifying inquiry instruction: Assessing the inquiry level of classroom activities. *The Science Teacher*, 77(2), 34-38.

Bloom, B. S. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals*. Longman.

Bowlby, J. (1982). *Attachment and loss: Retrospect and prospect*. Basic Books.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227-268.

Edelson, D. C., Gordin, D. N., & Pea, R. D. (1999). Addressing the challenges of inquiry-based learning through technology and curriculum design. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 8(3-4), 391-450.

Ertmer, P. A., & Simons, K. D. (2006). Jumping the PBL implementation hurdle: Supporting the efforts of K–12 teachers. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(1), 40-54.

Ertmer, P. A., Sadaf, A., & Ertmer, D. J. (2009). Designing effective PBL environments: The design and development of student problem-solving skills. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 57(3), 329-352.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review*, 16(3), 235-266.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educational Psychologist*, 42(2), 99-107.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E., & Barrows, H. S. (2006). Goals and strategies of a problem-based learning facilitator. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(1), 21-39.

Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(1), 491-525.

Levy, P., Little, S., & Whelan, N. (2013). Perspectives on enquiry-based learning. *The Higher Education Academy*.

Minner, D. D., Levy, A. J., & Century, J. (2010). Inquiry-based science instruction—What is it and does it matter? Results from a research synthesis years 1984 to 2002. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47(4), 474-496.

Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2011). *Framework for 21st century learning*. Retrieved from <http://www.p21.org>

Piaget, J. (1972). *The principles of genetic epistemology*. Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Saavedra, A. R., & Opfer, V. D. (2012). Teaching and learning 21st century skills: Lessons from the learning sciences. *Kappan Magazine*, 94(2), 8-13.

Savery, J. R., & Duffy, T. M. (1995). Problem-based learning: An instructional model and its constructivist framework. *Educational Technology*, 35(5), 31-38.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Wheeler, L., Waite, S., & Bromfield, C. (2007). Promoting creative thinking through the use of ICT. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18(2), 167–174.